

Modelling the co-existence and survival scenarios of two competing legumes with a low environmental perturbation

J.U. Atsu¹, A.O.Nwaoburu² and E. N. Ekaka-a²

¹Department of Mathematics/Statistics, Cross River University of Technology, Calabar, Nigeria.

²Department of Mathematics, Rivers State University, Nkporlu, Port-Harcourt, Nigeria.

Abstract— The occurrence of an environmental perturbation on the outcomes of co-existence and survival for two competing legumes for limited resources is one of the challenging crop science problems that requires a mathematical quantification. We have explored the application of a MATLAB algorithm in this study. We have found that the inclusion of a low random noise intensity value of 0.01 has dominantly predicted more instances of valid co-existence scenarios and fewer instances of degeneracy scenarios provided the inter-competition coefficients outweigh the intra-competition coefficients. We would expect these present novel results to provide a further insight on the crop science ideas of co-existence and survival.

Keywords— Environmental perturbation, co-existence, survival, random noise, MATLAB Algorithm, inter-competition, intra-competition.

I. INTRODUCTION

Within an agricultural setting, the competition between two legumes such as cowpea and groundnut for limited resources can play a significant role in terms of their co-existence, survival and food production. However, the effect of a low environmental perturbation such erosion or an un-expected sea level rise has the potential to shift the expected co-existence and survival scenarios under the simplifying assumption of its impact on the intrinsic growth rates provided the inter-competition coefficients outweigh the intra-competition coefficients. The mathematical

analysis of other related cowpea-groundnut interactions can be seen in the works of

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The model parameters that we have utilized in this pioneering study were derived by Ekaka-a et al (2013) based on the primary growth data by Ekpo and Nkannang (2010) including the several cited articles that supported their full report. For the purpose of this analysis, the intrinsic growth rate parameter values are 0.0225 grams and 0.0446 grams per area of habitat, the intra-competition coefficients are 0.0167 and 0.033, the inter-competition coefficients are 0.02 and 0.035.

Simplifying Assumptions

The deterministic model formulation follows the popular Lotka-Volterra type which is not the central focus of this analysis. A MATLAB algorithm has been implemented to predict the data below under the implicit assumptions that the said environmental perturbation only affects the intrinsic growth rates provided the inter-competition coefficients outweigh the intra-competition coefficients. For the purpose of clarity, the notations represented by the model parameter K stand for the biological carrying capacity which is defined as the ratio of the intrinsic growth rate to the intra-competition coefficient while the notations represented by the model parameter α as the ratio of the inter-competition coefficient to the intra-competition coefficient.

III. RESULTS

The results of this analysis are displayed as in Table 1 and Table 2 below:

Table.1: MATLAB Algorithm Predicted Data of Co-existence and Survival Outcomes with a Low Random Noise Intensity Value of 0.01: Scenario 1

Example	C_b	G_b	α_{12}	$\frac{K_1}{K_2}$	α_{21}	$\frac{K_2}{K_1}$
1	0.71	0.74	1.1976	1.0687	1.0606	0.9357
2	1.22	0.29	1.1976	0.9896	1.0606	1.0105
3	0.65	0.90	1.1976	1.0869	1.0606	0.9200
4	0.24	1.39	1.1976	1.1577	1.0606	0.8638
5	0.77	0.58	1.1976	1.0493	1.0606	0.9530
6	-0.41	1.82	1.1976	1.2785	1.0606	0.7822
7	0.55	0.93	1.1976	1.0990	1.0606	0.9099
8	-0.25	1.77	1.1976	1.2433	1.0606	0.8043
9	1.03	0.46	1.1976	1.0180	1.0606	0.9824
10	0.06	1.45	1.1976	1.1869	1.0606	0.8425

Table.2: MATLAB Algorithm Predicted Data of Co-existence and Survival Outcomes with a Low Random Noise Intensity Value of 0.01: Scenario 2

Example	C_b	G_b	α_{12}	$\frac{K_1}{K_2}$	α_{21}	$\frac{K_2}{K_1}$
1	0.44	0.94	1.1976	1.1135	1.0606	0.8981
2	0.06	1.37	1.1976	1.1868	1.0606	0.8426
3	1.92	-0.46	1.1976	0.8694	1.0606	1.1502
4	1.06	0.36	1.1976	1.0048	1.0606	0.9952
5	-0.04	1.50	1.1976	1.2046	1.0606	0.8302
6	-0.10	1.58	1.1976	1.2156	1.0606	0.8227
7	0.44	1.10	1.1976	1.1225	1.0606	0.8909
8	0.05	1.30	1.1976	1.1876	1.0606	0.8420
9	0.84	0.59	1.1976	1.0441	1.0606	0.9577
10	0.67	0.70	1.1976	1.0694	1.0606	0.9351

IV. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

On the basis of our proposed method of analysis and its simplifying assumptions, we have made these valid observations: two instances of degeneracy on the cowpea legume and one instance of degeneracy on the groundnut legume (Table 1 and Table 2). In Table 1 and Table 2, the cowpea legume is about 20 percent more vulnerable to degeneracy whereas the groundnut legume is about 10 percent more vulnerable in the context of two competing legumes. Apart from the degeneracy scenarios, the inclusion of a low random noise intensity value of 0.01 has predicted a dominant instance of co-existing legumes which do not survive together.

V. CONCLUSION

A low environmental perturbation has dominantly predicted the feasibility for the co-existence of two interacting legumes which may not necessarily survive together provided the inter-competition coefficients outweigh the intra-competition coefficients and that the low environmental perturbation or a low random noise intensity value of 0.01 only affects the intrinsic growth rates. The effects of these assumptions on other model parameter values which we did not consider in this present study will be the subject of a future investigation.

REFERENCES

- [1] Agboola AA (1986). Laboratory Manual for Agronomic studies in soil Plant and Microbiology. University of Ibadan, Ibadan, p. 80.
- [2] Akubugwo EI, Ogbuji GC, Chinyere CG, Ugbogu EA (2009). Physicochemical properties and enzyme activity study in a refined oil contaminated soil in Isiukwuato, Abia State, Nigeria. Biokemistri., 21: 79-84.
- [3] Bonkowski M, Cheng W, Griffiths BS, Alpeh J, Scheu S (2000). Microbial-fungal interaction and effect on plant growth. Eur. J. Soil Biol., 36:135-147.
- [4] Bray RH, Kurtz LT (1945). Determination of total Organic and available form of phosphorus in soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Niger., 59: 39-45.
- [5] Brown ME (1995). Rhizosphere microorganisms opportunist bandits or benefactors. In soil Microbiology: A critical Review. Walker N(Ed) Halsted press, wiley, New york. pp. 21-38.
- [6] Budny JG, Paton GI, Campbell CD (2002). Microbial communities in different soil types do not converge after diesel contamination. J. Appl. Microbiol., 92: 276-288.
- [7] Caravaca F, Rodán A (2003). Assessing changes in physical and biological properties in a soil contaminated by oil sludges under semiarid Mediterranean conditions. Geoderma, 117: 53-61.
- [8] Chikere BO, Okpokwasili GC (2003). Enhancement of biodegradation of petrochemicals by nutrient supplementation. Niger. J. Microbiol., 17(2): 130-135
- [9] Ekaka-a, EN, Nafo, N.M, Ugwu C, Agwu, I. A. (2013), Concurrence Characterization of the Border Steady-State Solution of Two Interacting Legumes, Scientia Africana, Vol. 12(1), pages 89-92.
- [10] Ekpo, M.A. and Nkanang, A. J. (2010) Nitrogen fixing capacity of legumes and their Rhizosphereal microflora in diesel oil polluted oil in the tropics, Journal of Petroleum and Gas Engineering, 1(4), pages 76-83.
- [11] Nelson DW, Sommers LE (1982). Total carbon, organic carbon, organic matter. In Pages AL, Miller RH, Keeney DR (eds), Methods of soil Analysis part 2. American society of Agronomy. Madison, 2: 539-579.
- [12] Odu CTI (1981). Microbiology of soil contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbon. In Extent of contamination and some soil and microbial properties after contamination. J. Inst. Pollut., (7): 279-286.
- [13] Okpokwasili GC, James WA (1995). Microbial contamination of kerosene, gasoline and crude oil and their spoilage potentials. Mat. Org., 29: 147- 156.
- [14] Roscoe YL, McGill WE, Nbory MP, Toogood JA (1989). Method of accelerating oil degradation in soil. In: Proceeding in workshop on reclamation of disturbed Northern Fores, research Center, Alberta, Edmonton, pp. 462-470.
- [15] Wyszowski M, Wyszowska J, Ziolkowska A (2004). Effect of soil contamination with diesel oil on yellow lupine yield and macroelements content. Plant, Soil Environ., 50: 218-226.
- [16] Wyszowski MJ, Wyszowska J (2005). Effect of enzymatic activity of diesel oil contaminated soil on the chemical composition of oat (*Avena sativa* L.) and maize (*Zea mays* L.). Plant, Soil Environ., 51: 360-367.
- [17] Yong C, Crowley DE (2006). Rhizosphere microbial community structure in relation to root location and plant iron nutrition status. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 66 : 345-351.